

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to FIG. 5D. This sheet replaces the original sheet including FIG. 5D. In FIG. 5D, the reference number 119 has been amended to properly identify the dummy line.

Attachment:	Replacement Sheet
	Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Final Office Action dated April 20, 2005 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

As a preliminary matter, Applicants note the Non-Patent Literature Documents listed in the information disclosure statement filed on January 23, 2004 have not been considered because copies of each document are needed. Applicants submit herewith copies of the Non-Patent Literature Documents and PTO/SB/08A, filed January 23, 2004, for consideration by the Examiner.

By this Response, claims 22 and 26-31 have been amended, and claims 32-39, which were drawn to a non-elected invention, have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants have also amended FIG. 5D as indicated in the annotated sheet showing changes. Claims 22-31 are currently pending. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections and rejection in view of the above amendments and the following remarks are respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, Figure 5D is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because the reference sign 119 is pointing to a wrong layer. Applicants have amended FIG. 5D as indicated in the annotated sheet showing changes and submit herewith a replacement drawing sheet. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 22-31 are objected to because of informalities. Applicants have amended the claims of the application. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection are respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 22-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,741,313, issued to Kim et al. Applicants respectfully disagree with the rejection; however, to expedite prosecution of the case, Applicants file a terminal disclaimer to overcome the double-patenting rejection. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: August 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Valerie P. Hayes
Valerie P. Hayes
Registration No.: 53,005
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant



ANNOTATED SHEET 1/1

FIG. 5D

